



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,391	11/19/2003	Teruaki Itoh	160-398 (AMK)	5497
<div>23117 7590 12/12/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203</div>				
EXAMINER GORDON, BRIAN R				
ART UNIT 1797		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 12/12/2007		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/715,391

Applicant(s)

ITOH, TERUAKI

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1797

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-06-07.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 06, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts, [t]he Stylli patent lacks at least the claimed positional relationship of the master specimen and the slave specimen. That is, Stylli lacks the master specimen and the slave specimen being conveyed before and behind each other in the conveyance direction on the same lane. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Stylli discloses, [t]ypically, the sample transporter will comprise at least two parallel lanes and preferably at least four parallel lanes. Typically, a sample transporter lane can transport addressable wells (e.g., addressable chemical or sample wells) in both directions, bi-directional transport (e.g., north and south movement in the same lane but at different times) by changing the transport direction. It will, however, be desirable in some instances to dedicate one or more lanes, to unidirectional transport to reduce transition times associated with changing transport direction. Column 17, lines 1+; see also column 18, lines 51+.

The plates are aligned sequentially as claimed on the conveyor lanes (see figure 17).

As to complexity of operation, the ability of the device of Stylli to perform more complex operations does not preclude it from performing the in-line operation as that of the device claimed by applicant.

As to the movement of the nozzle, Stylli discloses [a]lternatively, the liquid handler can be disposed on a Z-positioner, having an X,Y positioner for the liquid handler in order to enable precise X,Y and Z positioning of the liquid handler (e.g., Linear Drives of United Kingdom). Column 13, lines 63+.

As to Watson, applicant describes the labeling process of the secondary tube 15 and asserts the tube is not aligned behind the primary tube 14 on the conveyor. The examiner disagrees after the secondary tube 15 dispensed from the hopper to the conveyor to receive the fluid from tube 14 as seen in figure 9A.

For reasons given herein, the previous rejection of the claims is hereby maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stylli et al. US 5,985,214.

Stylli et al. disclose systems and methods that utilize automated and integratable workstations for identifying chemicals having useful activity.

The system provides for a liquid handling device (dispensing means) that aspirates from master plates (master specimen containers) and dispenses the fluid there from into daughter plates (slave containers).

An orthogonal positioner can typically match an array of dispensing heads (plurality of dispensing nozzles) with an array of wells in X,Y using a mechanical means to move the wells into position or the liquid handler (e.g., dispensing heads) into position. Preferably, arrays of wells on a plate are moved rather than the liquid handler (column 13, lines 25+)

The device incorporates a transport system (conveying means) that includes a plurality of lanes (column 20, line 13+).

The device is automated a computer, logic control system that is capable of controlling the positioning and transport (including temporarily stopping the containers) of the various components in the device (for example column 20, lines 44).

It should be noted the limitations of the claims are further disclosed throughout various unspecified locations.

3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watson et al. US 6,599,476.

Watson et al. disclose a system for aspirating from a primary container and dispensing to a secondary container. In one embodiment the cap of the primary container is removed and aliquots of the sample aspirated by the sample aspiration and/or dispensing means are dispensed to the secondary container or containers which are then sealed and labelled and placed in the storage means; and whereby the conveyance of the primary containers and secondary containers between operational steps is via the container conveyance means and the whole process is coordinated and

controlled by a computerised laboratory information management system (column 7, lines 39+).

As seen in Figs 14 and 15, the device incorporates a plurality of lanes. As illustrated in Figure 5 the aspiration and dispensing means is movable across the lanes.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 1st Fri. Off.

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian R Gordon/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797